

*Recovery of amounts from
Sri U. K. Somappa.*

Q.—329. Sri M. V. RAMA RAO
(Tumkur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether Sri U. K. Somappa, Senior Deputy Inspector-General of Police, was compulsorily retired from service and, if so, when and why?

(b) whether any Government Order had been passed directing the recovery of any moneys from Sri U. K. Somappa and, if so, the amount together with the reasons for directing its recovery from him;

(c) how this amount was ascertained and by whom and what it represented;

(d) whether Government have reconsidered the matter upon a petition presented by this person;

(e) the procedure adopted by Government in dealing with this matter upon the petition for reconsideration;

(f) whether it is a fact that Government have ordered that no recovery need be made and, if so, upon what considerations;

(g) whether the Director of Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Audit was invited to examine the matter and, if so, the result of such examination or each such examination?

A.—Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA
(Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes, with effect from 4th January 1952, on account of maladministration of the Office of the Senior Deputy Inspector-General of Police.

(b) Yes, a sum of Rs. 24,946-12-6 was directed to be recovered from Sri U. K. Somappa, for having been responsible for misappropriation to that extent.

(c) This amount was determined as misappropriated by the Director of Efficiency Audit and Anti-Corruption after going through the accounts of the Office of the Senior Deputy Inspector-General.

(d) Yes.

(e) This matter was again referred to the Special Officer, Efficiency Audit and orders passed on his report.

(f) Yes, as there was no evidence to indicate that Sri U. K. Somappa himself misappropriated the money and the only charge against him was gross negligence in the administration of his office.

(g) Yes, a sum of Rs. 24,946-12-6 was ordered to be recovered from Sri U. K. Somappa on the findings of the Director of Anti-Corruption and Efficiency Audit in the first instance and he recommended for the recovery of a lesser amount subsequently.

**PROGRAMME OF BUSINESS FOR THE
SESSION.**

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM (Jagalur).—Sir, regarding the programme fixed for the Session, if the Chair permits me, I want to bring to the notice of the Leader of the House one or two points.

As you know, Sir, only one day has been allotted for the consideration of demands and supplementary grants. Yesterday the Chief Minister was pleased to present the Supplementary Estimate and it is so voluminous and important and it is as big and as important as the original budget.

Sri K. HANUMANTHAIYA
(Leader of the House).—It is very simple.

Mr. SPEAKER.—On the previous occasion also only one day was allotted.

Sri J. MOHAMED IMAM.—Now it is very important because the demand for the transferred area has been placed before us for the first time and many issues are raised. The amount and the number of Heads of Demands placed before us are really out of proportion. It can be taken as good as a regular budget. So, I request that more days be allotted as I expect a large number of cut motions. We need five days, seeing the number of cut motions and the